

#### **Technical appendix: Results of Stakeholder Workshop**

This appendix summarises the results from the stakeholder consultation workshop which was organised by SLOCAT and the Kühne Climate Center on 5 September 2024. This workshop was conducted to validate the findings of the draft compendium and understand what participants think about the draft set of interventions. The results are based on the 22 votes by participants. The scores are between 1 to 5 (from 1-lowest to 5-best) for the proposed interventions under each critical enabler. Since then the interventions have been revised and further defined.

Intervention	Criteria	Score	Mentimeter results
CO <sub>2</sub> emission mitigation	Universality	4.3	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
transport supporting	Cost effective	4	It is cost-effective.
decarbonisation by	Political / technical feasibility	3.6	It is politically and technically feasible.
2000	Short implementation timeframe	3.4	Strongly disagree Strongly agree
Low-carbon freight	Universality	3.8	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
operations, vehicles and	Cost effective	3	It is cost-effective.
alternative fuels	Political / technical feasibility	3.5	It is politically and technically feasible.
	Short implementation timeframe	2.3	It has a relatively short implementation timeframe.
Resilience of freight	Universality	3	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
and adaptation of	Cost effective	2.9	20 It is cost-effective.
systems	Political / technical feasibility	3.3	It is politically and technically feasible.
	Short implementation timeframe	2.2	It has a relatively short implementation timeframe. 2 Strongly disagree Strongly agree
Shift to the most efficient, low-carbon modes of transport and use of intermodal, low-carbon, efficient and resilient freight transport corridors across borders	Universality	4	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
	Cost effective	4	It is cost-effective.
	Political / technical feasibility	3.1	It has a relatively short implementation timeframe.
	Short implementation timeframe	2.8	Strongly disagree Strongly agree

#### Ambitious, science-based targets, regulations, policies, standards

#### Economics, finance and investments

Intervention	Criteria	Score	Mentimeter results
Pricing and fiscality to reflect the entire costs of each freight transport mode on our societies and the	Universality	4.3	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
	Cost effective	4.1	It is cost-effective.
environment, and to guide market forces towards the	Political / technical feasibility	2.6	It is politically and technically feasible. 11 thas a relatively short implementation timeframe.
most sustainable services, across supply chains	Short implementation timeframe	2.8	Strongly disagree Strongly agree
Climate and development	Universality	4.1	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
decarbonisation and sustainability goals, and	Cost effective	3.7	It is politically and technically feasible.
that supports LMICs in achieving multiple development priorities	Political / technical feasibility	3.8	It has a relatively short implementation timeframe.
	Short implementation timeframe	2.8	Strongly disagree Strongly agree
Re-use of funds collected from inefficient and polluting services to support efficient, green freight transport and logistics solutions	Universality	4.4	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
	Cost effective	4.3	It is cost-effective.
	Political / technical feasibility	3.7	It has a relatively short implementation timeframe.
	Short implementation timeframe	3.7	Strongly disagree Strongly agree
Financing and funding accessible to formal and informal operators	Universality	3.6	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
	Cost effective	3.3	It is cost-effective.
	Political / technical feasibility	3	It is politically and technically feasible.
	Short implementation timeframe	3.2	3 Strongly disagree Strongly agree

# Integrated planning and operations

Intervention	Criteria	Score	Mentimeter results
Management of freight	Universality	4.5	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
transport demand	Cost effective	4	It is cost-effective.
	Political / technical feasibility	3.2	It is politically and technically feasible.  It has a relatively short implementation timeframe.
	Short implementation timeframe	3.2	Strongly disagree Strongly agree

Fleets and assets sharing combined with intelligent transport	Universality	3.9	It is universal (scalable and replicable).	
	Cost effective	3.8	It is cost-effective.	
systems	Political / technical feasibility	3.2	It is politically and technically feasible.	
	Short implementation timeframe	2.8	20 Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Integrated urban	Universality	4	It is universal (scalable and replicable).	
logistics and freight	Cost effective	3.7	It is cost-effective.	
	Political / technical feasibility	3.2	It is politically and technically feasible.	
	Short implementation timeframe	2.7	It has a relatively short implementation timeframe. 2	
			Strongly disagree	Strongly agree
Efficient and resilient	Universality	3.9	It is universal (scalable and replicable).	
transport infrastructure	Cost effective	3.4	It is cost-effective.	
	Political / technical feasibility	3.3	It is politically and technically feasible.	
	Short implementation timeframe	2.2	2 Strongly disagree	Strongly agree

# Mandatory, standardised and transparent tracking, reporting and evaluation

Intervention	Criteria	Score	Mentimeter results
Standardised approaches for GHG emissions, climate and sustainability impacts accounting	Universality	4.5	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
	Cost effective	4.2	It is cost-effective.
	Political / technical feasibility	3.9	It has a relatively short implementation timeframe.
	Short implementation timeframe	3.5	Strongly disagree Strongly agree
Participation in voluntary market-based measures framework for freight transport and logistics accounting and reporting	Universality	3.9	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
	Cost effective	2.8	It is politically and technically feasible
	Political / technical feasibility	3.6	It has a relatively short implementation timeframe.
	Short implementation timeframe	3.3	Strongly disagree Strongly agree
Indicators on intermodality, low-carbon, efficiency and resilience for all freight transport modes	Universality	4.1	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
	Cost effective	2.9	It is cost-effective.
	Political / technical feasibility	3.6	It has a relatively short implementation timeframe.
	Short implementation timeframe	3.3	Strongly disagree Strongly agree

Evaluation of performance against national, regional and global goals on sustainability, resilience and climate	Universality	4.1	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
	Cost effective	3.2	It is cost-effective.
	Political / technical feasibility	3.7	It is politically and technically feasible.
	Short implementation timeframe	2.9	Strongly disagree Strongly agree

# Data, research, technology, innovation and capacity building

Intervention	Criteria	Score	Mentimeter results
Multimodal freight data-focused interfaces, digital platforms and	Universality	4.9	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
	Cost effective	3.6	It is cost-effective.
new data approaches	Political / technical feasibility	3.2	It is politically and technically feasible.
	Short implementation timeframe	3.9	It has a relatively short implementation timeframe.
Workforce planning and	Universality	4.6	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
new jobs in low-carbon	Cost effective	3.9	It is cost-effective.
logistics	Political / technical feasibility	4.6	It is politically and technically feasible.  It has a relatively short implementation timeframe.
	Short implementation timeframe	3.1	Strongly disagree Strongly agree
Multi-stakeholder	Universality	4.6	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
exchange, joint action	Cost effective	4.1	It is cost-effective.  It is politically and technically feasible.
	Political / technical feasibility	4.6	It has a relatively short implementation timeframe.
	Short implementation timeframe	4.1	Strongly disagree Strongly agree
Voluntary programs to reduce logistic emissions	Universality	3.5	It is universal (scalable and replicable).
	Cost effective	2.7	It is cost-effective.
	Political / technical feasibility	3.6	It is politically and technically feasible.  It has a relatively short implementation timeframe.
	Short implementation timeframe	3.6	Strongly disagree Strongly agree